# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BARBARA ANDREWS
v.
ALLEGIANT AIR, INC., ALLEGIANT AIR, LLC

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

# EXHIBIT



## **COMPLAINT**

		Electronically Filed 10/22/2012 04:01:38 PM
		Alm & Elin
1	СОМЈО	Ston & Comme
2	R. CHRISTOPHER READE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006791	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	ADAM D. GRAFF, ESQ.	. ·
	Nevada Bar No. 011806	
4	READE & ASSOCIATES 1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128	
6	Telephone: (702) 794-4411 Fax: (702) 794-4421	
7	creade@readelawfirm.com; agraff@readelawfirm.co	<u>m</u>
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff BARBARA ANDREW	
9	DISTRICT	r COURT
	CLARK COUN	,
10	CLARK COOK	(11, NEVADA
ا 1	BARBARA ANDREW, an individual; )	
12	)	Case No.: A - 12 - 670500 - C
13	Plaintiff,	Dept. No. XXIV
1	v	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AGAINST
4	ALLEGIANT AIR, INC., a dissolved domestic corporation; ALLEGIANT AIR, LLC, a domestic	DEFENDANTS AND DEMAND FOR JURY
15	corporation; ALLEGIANT AIR, LLC, a domestic   limited liability company; DOES 1 through 25, and	TRIAL
16	limited liability company; DOES 1 through 25, and) ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through C, inclusive,	EXEMPT FROM ARBITRATION -
ا 17	microsive,	ACTION SEEKING EXTRAORDINARY
18	}	RELIEF]
1	Defendants.	
19	COMP	I ATNIT
20		
21		EW [hereinafter "ANDREW"], by and through her
22	Counsel, R. Christopher Reade, Esq., Adam D. Graff	
23	hereby Complains against the above-named Defenda	nts as follows:
24	GENERAL AL	LEGATIONS
25	Plaintiff ANDREW is, and at all time	es relevant hereto was, an individual residing in
26	Clark County, Nevada.	
27	2. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and	thereon alleges, that Defendant ALLEGIANT
28	AIR, INC. is a dissolved domestic corporation and is	no longer in good standing and authorized to do
	-1	_
1		, <del>-</del>

business in Clark County, Nevada.

- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant ALLEGIANT AIR, LLC. [hereinafter "ALLEGIANT"] is a domestic limited liability company, is a successor in interest to Defendant ALLEGIANT AIR, INC., and is in good standing and authorized to do business in Clark County, Nevada.
- 4. The true names and capacities of Defendants DOES 1 through 25 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through C are unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sues said persons and entities by said fictitious names. Each of the parties designated as a DOE is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings described in the Complaint which proximately caused the damages as alleged herein and are believed to have committed the acts and/or omissions herein alleged within the course and scope of their agency with ALLEGIANT. Each of the parties designated a ROE BUSINESS ENTITY is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings described herein which proximately caused the damages to Plaintiff as alleged herein and are believed to have committed the acts and/or omissions herein alleged within the course and scope of their agency with ALLEGIANT. Plaintiff will ask leave of Court to amend the Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of the DOES and/or ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES and state appropriate charging allegations, when that information has been ascertained.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant herein each Defendant was an agent of each of the other Defendants and was acting with the knowledge, authorization and/or ratification of each of the other Defendants.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the DOE and ROE Defendants participated in or was in some way responsible for one or more of the wrongful acts and omissions and some portion of the damages herein alleged.
- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Article VI of the Nevada Constitution, and personal jurisdiction over the Defendants in accordance with NRS 14.065, on the grounds that such jurisdiction is not inconsistent with the Nevada Constitution or United States Constitution.

- 8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant ALLEGIANT employed over fifty (50) employees within a seventy-five (75) mile radius.
- Plaintiff ANDREW was hired by Defendant ALLEGIANT on or about December 3,
   2003.
- 10. Since that time until her formal termination on November 4, 2009, Plaintiff ANDREW had been continuously employed by Defendant ALLEGIANT as a Customer Service Agent, eventually earning a promotion to Lead Customer Service Agent.
- 11. During her tenure with ALLEGIANT, ANDREW received very positive evaluations and was known throughout management and by many of her coworkers as a highly knowledgable, detail oriented, exceedingly competent, diligent employee.
- 12. Since approximately March, 2008, ALLEGIANT, has subjected Plaintiff ANDREW to invidious gender discrimination and a hostile work environment, to wit:
  - A. ANDREW has been referred to as a "strong bitch" and a "bitch" by Lead Victor Palmiotti and others.
  - B. Palmiotti has deliberately directed difficult and/or potentially violent customers to speak to ANDREW.
  - C. ANDREW has been treated differently than her male co-workers, such as Larry Light, with respect to her ability to get time off or have accommodations made to her work schedule; Mr. Light has been able, as a part-time lead, to successfully request time off in advance, while ANDREW has been repeatedly denied these requests.
  - D. As noted by co-worker Carl Danca, ANDREW has been the target of a concerted campaign by co-workers to submit "FYI" complaint sheets against ANDREW for the express purpose of facilitating her termination.

- 13. Following her exposure to these actions, ANDREW sent written complaints regarding Palmiotti's behavior and other incidents of "harassment" and "retaliation" directly to the attention of management.
  - 14. Management did not take remedial action in response to ANDREWS' complaints.
- 15. On information and belief, Customer Service Manager Robert Williamson would tear up negative "FYI" statements at the request of favored employees.
- 16. On or about January 27, 2009, ALLEGIANT placed ANDREW on a performance improvement plan, stating that she was being "unprofessional", as she had been making "condescending facial expressions" and was being "sarcastic, negative, [and] unsupportive."
- 17. On or about late February, 2009, ANDREW filed a charge of discrimination against ALLEGIANT with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission [hereinafter "EEOC"].
  - 18. The EEOC served ANDREW's charge on ALLEGIANT on or about March 5, 2009.
- 19. In the months that followed, the work environment became so stressful for ANDREW that she was forced to take a medical leave of absence to recuperate.
- 20. On or about June 4, 2009, ANDREW was cleared to return to work by her private physician.
- 21. ANDREW was not required to attend a "fitness for duty" examination in order to be allowed to return to work.
- 22. Upon ANDREW's return, ANDREW was removed from her lead position and was reassigned to work at the ticket counter.
- 23. On or about June 10, 2009, ANDREW was required to meet with Pat Stockfish, director of People Services, and Robert Williamson, to discuss whether ANDREW was capable of returning to work.

- 24. On or about June 11, 2009, ANDREW received a telephone call from Necia Clark-Mantle, Director of Employee Relations, wherein Clark-Mantle questioned her about the EEOC charge and intimated that if ANDREW did not answer Clark-Mantle's questions, Clark-Mantle would call the EEOC and tell them that ANDREW was not cooperating in the investigation.
- 25. On or about September 3, 2009, the parties participated in an EEOC mediation concerning ANDREW's charge.
  - 26. Neither party was represented by counsel.
- 27. In attendance in support of ANDREW were Arthur Andrew and Debra Blackburn; ALLEGIANT was represented by Robert Williamson and Necia Clark-Mantle, who arrived more than 30 minutes late.
- 28. At the outset, for approximately 45 minutes, Ms. Clark-Mantle read a written statement which bashed ANDREW and portrayed her as unfit to work.
- 29. The mediation process was extremely upsetting to ANDREW and only furthered her agitation.
  - 30. The mediation did not result in a settlement of ANDREW's charge.
- 31. On or about September 6, 2009, only three days after the failure of the mediation, Robert Williamson called ANDREW on the telephone and told her that she would not be needed at work the following day.
  - 32. On information and belief, ALLEGIANT was short-staffed on September 7, 2009.
- 33. On or about September 11, 2009, Buflene Snyder, Employee Relations Manager, called ANDREW on the telephone and stated that ANDREW was being placed on paid administrative leave, and that Snyder would call her again next week once the company had "figured out what to do."
  - 34. Snyder made no mention of a fitness for duty examination during this telephone call.

- 35. On or about September 18, 2009, Snyder called again and told ANDREW that the company "had not forgotten about you" and that ANDREW was "still on paid administrative leave while they pursue their next step." Snyder made no mention of a fitness for duty examination at this time.
- 36. On information and belief, someone wrote a letter regarding ANDREW to the attention of the Customer Relations Department with the instruction that it be placed in ANDREW's file and that ANDREW be notified about it. A copy of this letter was forwarded to Robert Williamson. ANDREW was never notified about the contents of the letter.
- 37. On or about October 1, 2012, Buflene Snyder called and informed ANDREW that an appointment had been made with Dr. Staci Ross to see if ANDREW was fit for work.
- 38. ANDREW informed Snyder that ANDREW had already made an appointment with her personal physician on October 6, 2012 and that he could provide whatever assurances were needed regarding ANDREW's ability to work. Snyder replied that this would be insufficient, that ALLEGIANT had contacted a third party to make the determination, and that it was now "out of their hands."
- 39. On or about October 7, 2012, ANDREW e-mailed ALLEGIANT stating that she had been advised not to see ALLEGIANT's non-M.D. doctor, as such action appeared to be evidence of retaliation.
- 40. On or about October 13, 2009, ANDREW received a letter stating that ALLEGIANT had scheduled an appointment for her with an M.D. doctor on October 29, 2009, and that seeing this doctor would be a pre-requisite to her continued employment.
- 41. This letter continued that ANDREW was to sign a release for her past medical records and deliver them to the company in advance of the appointment.

- 42. ANDREW did not attend the doctor's appointment, and on or about November 5, 2009, ANDREW received notice of her voluntary termination via Fed Ex.
- 43. On or about November 9, 2009, ANDREW amended her charge to include ALLEGIANT's acts of retaliation regarding her termination following the EEOC mediation. A copy of ANDREW's amended charge is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".
- 44. On or about July 23, 2012, the EEOC issued its "right to sue" letter and addressed a courtesy copy to ALLEGIANT's Necia-Clark Mantle. A copy of the "right to sue" letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "2".
- 45. On information and belief, the EEOC intended to charge and release a right to sue for ALLEGIANT AIR, LLC., as this entity was formed in 2004 to take the place of ALLEGIANT AIR, INC.
- 46. Prior to her termination, as a foreseeable and proximate result of Defendants' actions and inaction, ANDREW was forced to work fewer hours which in turn negatively impacted her subsequent take-home income.
- 47. As a foreseeable and proximate result of Defendants' actions and inaction, ANDREW has lost gainful employment and has been unable to find replacement employment.
- 48. The conduct to which Plaintiff ANDREW was subjected was in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (hereinafter referred to as "Title VII").
- 49. Plaintiff ANDREW has satisfied all administrative prerequisites and has received a "Notice of Right to Sue" from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission attached hereto as Exhibit "2".

///

///

- 63. Plaintiff fully availed herself of Defendant ALLEGIANT's corrective opportunities and took reasonable steps to avoid harm.
- 64. Defendant ALLEGIANT is additionally responsible for ANDREW's co-worker's conduct by virtue of the doctrine of respondeat superior.
  - 65. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff is entitled damages in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 66. Additionally, the conduct of Defendant and its employees has been malicious, fraudulent and oppressive and was designed to vex, annoy or harass Plaintiff and thus Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages under Title VII in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 67. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has been forced to retain and employ legal counsel and thus is entitled to all costs, fees and expenses related to or arising from this litigation as provided by Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e 5(k).

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (Retaliation in Violation of Title VII and NRS 613.330)

- 68. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 67 by this reference the same as though fully set forth herein.
- 69. Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination with the EEOC because she believed that Defendant had discriminated against her and subjected her to illegal harassment because of her sex.
- 70. The filing of a charge of discrimination with an administrative agency constitutes protected activity under Title VII and NRS 613.330.
- 71. Shortly after Defendant ALLEGIANT received notice of Plaintiffs' charge and right to sue letter, Defendant ALLEGIANT subjected Plaintiff to adverse employment actions including, *inter alia*, raising questions as to her fitness for work and threatening that Plaintiff was required to answer probing questions lest she be accused of obstructing the EEOC investigation.

- 72. In an effort to resolve Plaintiff's charge of discrimination, on or about September 3, 2009, the parties participated in an EEOC-sanctioned mediation session, during which ALLEGIANT verbally bashed and degraded Plaintiff and questioned Plaintiff's mental fitness to work.
  - 73. The mediation was not successful.
- 74. On or about September 6, 2009, only three days after the failure of the mediation, ALLEGIANT informed Plaintiff that she was not to report to work on September 7, 2009, and subsequently placed Plaintiff on paid administrative leave.
- 75. Defendant did not allow Plaintiff to work again following her placement on administrative leave.
- 76. While Plaintiff remained on leave, Defendant, in an effort to justify Plaintiff's termination, concocted a requirement that Plaintiff release her medical history and submit to a fitness for duty examination with a pre-selected physician of Defendant's choosing in order to be allowed to return to work.
- 77. On or about October 1, 2009, twenty-five (25) days after placing her on paid administrative leave, Defendant informed Plaintiff that Plaintiff would be required to tender her full medical history and submit to a fitness for duty examination by Defendant's pre-selected examiner in order to be permitted to return to work.
- 78. Plaintiff rightly determined that Defendant was looking for an excuse to disqualify her from work status and refused the examination.
- 79. Defendant then used Plaintiff's refusal to submit to a sham examination as an excuse to terminate her employment.
- 80. The adverse conduct in which Defendant engaged was a direct result of Plaintiff's complaints that she was being discriminated against on the basis of her sex.

- 81. The treatment to which Plaintiff was subjected was retaliatory in violation of Title VII and NRS 613.330.
- 82. Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury caused by the conduct of Defendant and its employees.
- 83. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendant and its employees, Plaintiff has been damaged in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 84. Additionally, the conduct of Defendant and its employees has been malicious, fraudulent and oppressive and was designed to vex, annoy or harass Plaintiff and thus Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages under Title VII.
- 85. As a result of Defendant's discriminatory acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to her attorneys' fees and costs of suit as provided by Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e 5(k).

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (Negligent Hiring, Training and/or Supervision – against ALLEGIANT)

- 86. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-85 by this reference the same as though fully set forth herein.
  - 87. Defendant ALLEGIANT has a duty to properly hire, train and supervise its employees.
- 88. Defendant's duty to properly hire, train and supervise extends to all persons, including Plaintiff, in its employ.
- 89. Defendant failed to properly hire, train and/or supervise its agents, servants or employees herein because there was no thorough investigation Plaintiff ANDREW's complaints of sexual harassment, any and all complaints by Plaintiff were not treated with the appropriate level of gravity, Plaintiff ANDREW was treated differently than her male co-workers, Defendant on information and belief failed to properly train employees regarding state and federal laws relating to sexual harassment,

and, on information and belief, Defendant failed to enforce its own internal no-tolerance policies prohibiting sexual harassment in the workplace.

- 90. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of said duty, Defendant's agents, servants or employees' conduct against Plaintiff unlawfully and willfully subjected Plaintiff to discriminatory treatment, illegal conduct, and retaliation in violation of Federal and State law.
- 91. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to recover her damages in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Injunctive/Declaratory Relief)

- 92. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-91 by this reference the same as though fully set forth herein.
- 93. An actual controversy now exists between Plaintiff ANDREW and Defendant ALLEGIANT regarding the rights of the respective parties to legal and equitable relief based on the alleged violations of federal and state law.
- 94. This controversy is ripe for judicial determination as Plaintiff has been harmed and will continue to be harmed by Defendant ALLEGIANT's refusal to recant its assertion that Plaintiff was terminated solely for her refusal to submit to a fitness for duty examination.
- 95. Accordingly Plaintiff ANDREW desires a judicial determination of her rights under federal and state anti-discrimination laws, and the following declarations under the Uniform Declaratory Judgments Act, codified as NRS 30.010 et seq., as follows:
  - a. A declaration that ALLEGIANT has engaged in illegal gender discrimination and retaliation;
  - b. A declaration that ANDREW was not terminated for willful misconduct and/or failure to submit to a required medical examination.

-	Taballa instruction											
1.	96.	Such a j	udicial de	etermi	ination is no	ecess	sary and a	appropi	riate	in order	that Plain	tiff may
2	correct the	record for	future e	mploy	yment oppo	rtun	ities and	curren	t eli	gibility	for unemp	loyment
3	benefits.											
4	97.	Plaintiff	requests	that	Defendant	be	enjoined	from	any	further	violations	of the
5	Constitution						-					
6		Of fice civil	uguo.	•	-		•					-
7	//											
8	//											
10	//											
11										•		
.12		t										
13					•					,		
14		·										
-15							•					
16												
17										٠	•	
18				•								
19												
20		,			,		•					
21		ı										•
22									•			
23												
24		•			•							
25 26	Transmitted by the state of the	,										
27												
28				÷						•		
	and the second s	-										
					-	13-						

	·
. 1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff BARBARA ANDREW prays for judgment against Defendants an
2	each of them, jointly and severally, as follows:
3	1. Actual damages, plus all interest as provided by law, including prejudgment interest, i
4	sums to be determined at the time of trial;
5	2. General damages in sums to be determined at the time of trial;
6	3. Punitive and/or exemplary damages in an amount appropriate to punish and/or set a
7	· ·
9	example of these Defendants pursuant to Chapter 41 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Tit
10	VII;
11	4. Statutory/Liquidated damages as allowed by law in excess of \$10,000.00;
12	4. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit;
13	5. Pre-judgment interest;
14	For any and all such other and further relief as the Court may deem just.
15	DATED this <u>Had</u> day of October, 2012.
16	
17	READE & ASSOCIATES
18	By: Orlan Cul-
19	R. CHRISTOPHER READE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006791
20	ADAM D. GRAFF, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 011806
21	1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210 Las Vegas, Nevada 89103
22	(702) 794-4411 Attorneys for Plaintiff
23	BARBÁRA ANDREW
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

JURY DEMAND Plaintiff BARBARA ANDREW by and through her Counsel, R. Christopher Reade, Esq., Adam D. Graff, Esq., and the office of Reade & Associates, hereby demands trial of this matter by jury. Dated this 22 day of October, 2012. **READE & ASSOCIATES** R. CHRISTOPHER READE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006791 ADAM D. GRAFF, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 011806 1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 (702) 794-4411 Attorneys for Plaintiff BARBÁRA ANDREW -15-

# Exhibit "1"

Exhibit "1"

EEOC Form 5 (5/01)					
CHARGE OF DIS RIMINATION	Charge	F. Jented To:	Agency(ies) Charge No(s):		
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act Statement and other information before completing this form.	X	FEPA EEOC	Amended 487-2009-00369		
Nevada Equal Righ	hts Commission and EEOC				
State or local Ag					
Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)		Home Phone (Incl. Area			
Barbara L. Andrew		(702) 617-43	44 06-13-1955		
	and ZIP Code				
3092 Evening Mist Ave, Henderson, NV 89052					
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeshin Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS	p Committee, or Sta below.)	ate or Local Government			
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)		
ALLEGIANT AIR, INC.		Unknown	(702) 851-7303		
	and ZIP Code				
8360 Durango Dr., Las Vegas, NV 39113		•			
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)		
Street Address City, State a	and ZIP Code		<u> </u>		
	2.1. Journal of the Control of the C				
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)			RIMINATION TOOK PLACE		
Tare Taring Vary Taringy	7	Earliest	201001		
RACE COLOR X SEX RELIGION	NATIONAL ORIGIN	1	11/04/2009		
X RETALIATION AGE DISABILITY OT	HER (Specify below.)		A A . Maria		
			CONTINUING ACTION		
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):	•				
On December 3, 2003, I was hired by the Respondent as a Customer S Agent.	Service Agent. My	y current position is as	s a Customer Service Lead		
In or about March 2008, I was made aware that Vic Palmiotti, Customer Service Lead Agent referred to me as a "strong bitch." Mr. Palmiotti has been subjecting me to harassment and trying to get me terminated by not speaking to me in regards to work related operations, frequently and wrongfully placing blame on me for work related problems, and not carrying out his work responsibilities which negatively affects my work performance. In September 2008, I was written up for allegedly not passing out weather advisory by management. On January 27, 2009, I was a placed on a performance improvement plan. I believe these actions and others taken against me stem from Mr. Palmiotti negatively influencing members of management and coworkers because he views me as an opinionated female.					
Beginning in September 2008 until present, I have complained to several members of management about this gender discrimination to include Renee Ivester, Supervisor, Brian Manore, Director, Gary Ross, Supervisor, Ellen Martinez Supervisor, Tina Cox, Human Resources Representative, and Bob Williamson, Manager.					
On or about 05/23/2009, I believe I was retaliated against in that I received a mailed letter from the Respondent indicating my position could not be kept open until 06/04/2009 because of operational needs; therefore, I had to accept a demotion to a Customer Service Agent position. In addition, I was sent to work the Ticket Counter a position I had not worked for over 3 years.					
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.		ecessary for State and Loca	i		
I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.  SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT  1 Swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.  SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT					
NOV 09, 2009 Barbara G. ardrew	SUBSCRIBED AND (month, day, year)	SWORN TO BEFORE ME	THIS DATE		
Date Charging Party Signature					

EEOC Form 5 (5/01)	and the second s						
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge Presented To: Agency(ies) Charge No(s):						
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act Statement and other information before completing this form.	FEPA						
Statement and once information before completing and form.	X EEOC Amended 487-2009-00369						
Nevada Equal Rights							
State or local Agency	y, if any						
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):  On or about 06/10/2009, I was asked to a meeting in which I felt Pat Stockfish, Director of People Services, and Robert Williamson, Customer Service Manager, tried to intimidate me and to let me know they were concerned if I could do my job. I asked what is it you expect of me and I was told a "Positive Attitude." I felt as if I was being pressured to quit.							
On or about 06/11/2009 I was called by Necia Clark-Mantle, Director of Employee Relations, and I was threatened if I did not answer her questions or provide her a statement she was going to contact the EEOC and indicate I was not cooperating in her investigation.							
Since returning to work on 06/15/2009, I have been escorted by Manager management loading an aircraft when I used my flight benefits.	ment to pick up my money bag. I have even been watched by						
On 09/03/2009, the EEOC attempted mediation between both parties. Af work. I was then told that I had to complete a Fitness for Duty in order to a prerequisite for my continued employment. I was told my personal doct Voluntary Termination.	keep my job. I was not told why a Fitness for Duty had become for was not sufficient. On 11/04/2009, I was told I was a						
I believe I have been discriminated against because of my sex, female, a violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.	and retaliated against for complaining about the discrimination in						
•							
	•						
•							
	•						
	·						
	·						
	•						
I Wall till clidige med with both the ELECO and the older of local rigorial) in any	NOTARY – When necessary for Stale and Local Agency Requirements						
will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.							
I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.	I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.  SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT						
	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE						
Date ' Charging Party Signature							

# Exhibit "2"

Exhibit "2"

EEQC Form 161 (11/09)

#### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

#### DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

To: Barbara L. Andrew 3092 Evening Mist Ave Henderson, NV 89052	From: Las Vegas Local Office 333 Las Vegas Blvd South Suite-8112 Las Vegas, NV 89101
On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose idea CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))	ntity is
EEOC Charge No. EEOC Representative	Telephone No.
Jake B. DeMarco,	
487-2009-00369 Investigator	(702) 388-5057
THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE F	OR THE FOLLOWING REASON:
The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim	under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.
Your allegations did not involve a disability as defi	ned by the Americans With Disabilities Act.
The Respondent employs less than the required n	umber of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.
discrimination to file your charge	in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged
information obtained establishes violations of the	Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with sues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.
	r local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.
Other (iniefly state)	
Name of the Control o	
	OF SUIT RIGHTS - nformation attached to this form.)
Now may file a lawquit against the respondent/s) under fe	deral law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your  of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be
Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal calleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay dubefore you file suit may not be collectible.	or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the see for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years)
. Or	behalfjof the Gommission  JUL 2 3 2012  KWA / MINAT
	riana E. Lopez, (Date Mailed)
·	

ALLEGIANT AIR, INC.
Necia Clark-Mantle, Director Employee Relations
8360 S. Durango Dr.
Las Vegas, NV 89113

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

CIVIL COVER SHEET

A-12-670500-C XXIV

Clark County, Nevada

Case No.

(Assigned by Clerk's Office)

	(71001,2,1000.0					
I. Party Information			/-hanolt			
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone): Barbara Andrew 9620 W. Russell Road., #1052 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148		Defendant(s) (name/address/phone): Allegiant Air, LLC 8360 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, 89113				
Attorney (name/address/phone):	Suite 210	Attorney (name/address/p	hone):			
Reade & Associates, 1333 N Buffalo Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89128, (702) 794-4411	, Build 210					
II. Nature of Controversy (Please che	ck applicable bold	category and	Arbitration Requested			
applicable subcategory, if appropriate)		il Cases				
	CIV	n Cases To	rts			
Real Property						
☐ Landlord/Tenant ☐ Unlawful Detainer	☐ Negligence – At		☐ Product Liability ☐ Product Liability/Motor Vehicle ☐ Other Torts/Product Liability			
☐ Title to Property ☐ Foreclosure	☐ Negligence - Medical/Dental ☐ Negligence - Premises Liability (Slip/Fall)		☐ Intentional Misconduct ☐ Torts/Defamation (Libel/Slander) ☐ Interfere with Contract Rights			
☐ Liens ☐ Quiet Title ☐ Specific Performance	☐ Negligence – O	ther	Employment Torts (Wrongful termination)  Other Torts			
☐ Condemnation/Eminent Domain ☐ Other Real Property			Anti-trust Fraud/Misrepresentation Insurance			
☐ Partition ☐ Planning/Zoning			Legal Tort Unfair Competition			
Probate		Other Civil	Filing Types			
Estimated Estate Value:	Construction I		☐ Appeal from Lower Court (also check applicable civil case box) ☐ Transfer from Justice Court			
Summary Administration	General	•	☐ Justice Court Civil Appeal			
☐ General Administration ☐ Special Administration	Breach of Con Building Insurance	& Construction	☐ Civil Writ ☐ Other Special Proceeding			
Set Aside Estates	Commer	cial Instrument	Other Civil Filing			
☐ Trust/Conservatorships	Other Co	ontracts/Acct/Judgment on of Actions	Compromise of Minor's Claim Conversion of Property			
☐ Individual Trustee	Employr	nent Contract	Damage to Property			
Corporate Trustee	Guarante	ntract	☐ Employment Security ☐ Enforcement of Judgment			
Other Probate	Uniform	Commercial Code	Foreign Judgment - Civil			
-	Civil Petition	for Judicial Review are Mediation	☐ Other Personal Property ☐ Recovery of Property			
	Other Ad	ministrative Law	Stockholder Suit			
	☐ Worker's	ent of Motor Vehicles Compensation Appeal	Other Civil Matters			
III. Business Court Requested (Please check applicable category; for Clark or Washoe Counties only.)						
☐ NRS Chapters 78-88 ☐ Commodities (NRS 90) ☐ Securities (NRS 90)	Travestments (	NRS 104 Art. 8) de Practices (NRS 598)	Other Business Court Matters			
October 22, 2012		Edam aug	O Color of the Col			
Date		Sighature	of initiating party or representative			

## **ORIGINAL SUMMONS**

#### URIGINA **SUMM** 1 R. CHRISTOPHER READE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006791 2 ADAM D. GRAFF, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 011806 3 READE & ASSOCIATES 1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 5 Telephone: (702) 794-4411 Fax: (702) 794-4421 6 creade à readelaw firm.com; agraff à readelaw firm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 BARBÁRA ANDREW 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 BARBARA ANDREW, an individual; 11 Case No.: A-12-670500-C Plaintiff. Dept. No. XXIV 12 ٧. 13 ALLEGIANT AIR, INC., a dissolved domestic corporation: ALLEGIANT AIR, LLC, a domestic 14 limited liability company: DOES 1 through 25, and) ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through C. 15 inclusive. 16 17 Defendants. 18 19 **SUMMONS** 20 NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED AS A DEFENDANT. 21 THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW. 22 ALLEGIANT AIR, LLC 23 TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff in the above-entitled 24 25 26

action for the relief set forth in the Complaint, more specifically (1) Gender Discrimination/Hostile Work Environment in Violation of Title VII and NRS 613.330 (all defendants): (2) Retaliation in Violation of Title VII and NRS 613.330 - (all defendants); (3) Negligent Hiring, Training and/or

27

If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served on

File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court follows:

Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is

Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.

If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF COURT

Deputy Clerk

Regional Justice Center

200 Lewis Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada, 89155